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Reviewer's report:

First of all, I thank you for the opportunity to review this interesting manuscript. In general, it is a good manuscript. However, the submission will be accepted after changes have been made.

# The structure of the manuscript needs significant improvement.
# The English of the manuscript needs to be improved.
# Abstract: This is too wordy and unhelpful. The abstract of the research paper does not provide a snapshot view of what is being discussed throughout the paper. The reader is likely to be confused about the contents after reading the abstract.
# Introduction: Overall, the introduction is relevant and theory based.
# Material and Methods section:

Your observation is important because of its relation to human health and welfare. But, strong study design elements are central to uncovering biologically significant results.

I encourage authors to read the following article: Kyrgidis, A., & Triaridis, S. (2010). Methods and Biostatistics: a concise guide for peer reviewers. Hippokratia, 14(Suppl 1), 13-22.

Are the methods valid for the question asked? Are they clearly presented so that the work can be replicated by other researchers?

Authors should include justification for their chosen sample size in the method section of the manuscript.

I recommend authors to re-structure the paper.

# Results and Discussion:
The manuscript lacks detail of information which needs. More details about similar studies should be provided. The structure of the results and discussion is not really logical, which results in several redundancies and in a text going several times back and forth between topics.

# References:
Authors should follow the journal style guide for reference format. For example, you may compare reference number 1 and number 11. A reference manager software, Endnote, Reference Manager or other similar software, is suggested to be used. Then spelling errors and fault information can be avoided.

**Level of interest**
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:
An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited

**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?
6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal.