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Reviewer's report:


Add "both" to the second to last line of the Results section of the abstract.

Line 33. 'double blinded' should be added if so.

Line 80 change confirm to further evaluate

Line 126 what does 'manner'. Double blinding is critical and should be indicated earlier in the protocol

Line 158. > 4 out of 9? Out of all the symptoms. Please clarify.

The discussion would benefit from a significant editing to limit it to discussing the current data only.

I would much prefer to see means and standard errors for these symptoms in tables 3 and 6 so we can assess the biological relevance of the statistically significant changes. Medians provide inadequate information. This is absolutely necessary.

Table 4 does not provide useful information. Changing table 3 to means with variation would provide that information.

This is a well-designed study with interesting information that should be published. However, the data presentation is not acceptable. Means and standard errors need to be presented in order to assess the biological relevance of the significant differences.
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