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Author’s response to reviews:

We have addressed the points raised in the decision letter. More specifically:

1-3. We have re-phrased the methods section to clarify that ABabDII mice were used throughout, that 3 mice per peptide (6 in total) were used, and that only peptide-reactive animals were sacrificed.

4. The Ethics section has been changed to clarify the fact that the study has been submitted & approved by the ethics committee in Landesamt fur Gesundheit und Soziales.

5. The change has been made.

6. There are no accession numbers for these datasets, so we can't provide them. The GDC & ExAC have version numbers, that are now quoted in the data availability section, but it is not the case for AFND.

7. We haven't changed the text, because TCGA explicitely requests that "authors who use data from TCGA acknowledge the TCGA Research Network in the acknowledgements section of their work" (https://www.cancer.gov/about-nci/organization/ccg/research/structural-genomics/tcga/using-tcga/citing-tcga).

8-10. The changes have been made.
11. We have followed the Editorial Manager guidelines for TeX submission, by uploading the final version of the manuscript as a TeX source & the corresponding reference PDF.