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Response to Reviewers' comments

Dear Editor,

We thank you for your careful consideration of our manuscript. We appreciate your response and overall positive feedback, and made modifications to improve the manuscript.

We hope that you will find the revised paper suitable for publication, and we look forward to contributing to your journal. Please do not hesitate to contact us with other questions or concerns regarding the manuscript.

Best regards,

Xiang Gu
1. It has come to our attention that throughout the manuscript there is significant text overlap with other publications. While we understand that you may wish to express some of the same ideas contained in these publications, please be aware that we cannot condone the use of text from previously published work. We would therefore be grateful if you could provide a justification for the overlap in text between your manuscript and other sources. Please be informed that we cannot proceed with handling your manuscript before this issue is resolved, and the sections of text in question have been reformulated. The report has been attached to further help your revisions.

Please note that the software used to detect overlaps is imperfect and highlights two or more consecutive matching words, therefore, please just focus on those whole sentences/paragraphs highlighted. If this study uses methodology from a previously published work, please provide a summarizing statement in the methodology together with a citation to the original paper.

Response: We agree that there is significant text overlap with other publications. We have revised the manuscript carefully and hope that you will find the revised paper can be sent out for review.

2. Please include all information regarding the patients' consent to participate in the Ethical approval and consent to participate section.

Response: We agree with the Reviewer. All information regarding the patients' consent to participate in the Ethical approval and consent to participate section were included in the revised article.

3. Please clarify whether the informed consent obtained from the patients was written or verbal.

Response: We thank the Reviewer for the comment. The informed consent obtained from the patients was verbal.

4. Please include a Conclusion heading above your conclusion paragraph.

Response: We agree with the Reviewer. We added some discussion about this.

5. Please represent authors' names using their full initials, not their full name, in the Authors’ Contributions section. If there are any duplicated initials, please differentiate them to make it clear that the initials refer to separate authors.
Response: We agree with the Reviewer. We added the KDIGO AKI definition and a reference.

6. At this stage, we ask that you submit a clean version of your manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting.

Response: We agree with the Reviewer and submit a clean version of our manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting.