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Author’s response to reviews:

Reviewer #2 - Giorgio Guzzetta
I thank the authors for carefully addressing all of my comments. I believe that the added Figure S2 further highlights the limitation concerning quality and reliability of available datasets, which is already extensively discussed by the authors. Therefore, I would only suggest to underline the variability of the dengue burden averted by Wolbachia in line 426, reporting the uncertainty interval rather than (or in addition to) the average.

Response:

We thank the reviewer for highlighting this omission and have now added the uncertainty intervals to line 426 (first paragraph of the discussion) it now reads: "Finally, we show that a nationwide Wolbachia campaign could (over the long-term) avert a significant proportion of burden (86.2%, UI 36.2 – 99.9%) with elimination predicted in low transmission settings."