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Reviewer's report:

The authors have now clarified the model and how the time origin is chosen, and have satisfactorily addressed my queries.

I have a few additional edits:-

In the figure caption it should be mentioned that the antibody levels are displayed relative to the collection of the first sample.

Also the credible intervals for the estimated values of tau0 in Table S1 include negative values and clearly there is difficult in estimating this parameter from the data based also on the width of the intervals and the negative values. How we can interpret the negative values of tau0 shown in the table? Some explanation of this limitation needs to be provided.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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