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Reviewer's report:

Please see my comments and edits in the manuscript. More clarification is needed on how disability can be "universal" and also one can have "no disability" and be "fully functional." I understand that the authors use the idea that anyone may become disabled at any point in their life. But this is not the same as disability being "universal" and existing on a continuum. Basically I am arguing that the authors need to reconcile the notion that an individual can live with "no disability" with the idea that disability is a universal human experience. Are we, indeed, all disabled in one way or another? Do we all experience limits in functioning over the course of our lives and in different settings? Or is disability a unique minority experience? Is an inability to function in certain ways or certain situations "disability"? Or is disability a politicized minority identity? Disability can exist on a continuum and also be seen as a minority identity. I like the assertion that we have to move away from the disabled/nondisabled dichotomy. For this short piece, the authors may be able to reconcile these issues with minor text revisions and perhaps explanation or citation in the notes.
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