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Author's response to reviews:

Dear Claire Barnard

Thank you very much for your positive feedback. We revised our manuscript according to your requests. You will find below our answer

1. Competing interests: Please confirm whether the authors not listed here have any nonfinancial competing interests. If not, please add a statement that reads "The other authors declare that they have no competing interests".

Answer: We now clarified that The other authors declare that they have no competing interests

2. Please move the statement about CONSORT funding into the Acknowledgements section, and add a statement to clarify whether the funder had a role in the study design and conduct.

Answer: We did not obtain funding from CONSORT, only a scientific support. We clarified this in the text « This study was performed within the CONSORT (Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials) Partnership”

3. Methods: Please define the abbreviation "CNIL" (ethics committee)

Answer: We now clarified that the CNIL is the abbreviation for the “Commission Nationale de l'Informatique et des Libertés” whose remit is to protect participants’ personal data.

4. Methods: Please include an explicit statement to clarify whether informed consent was obtained from the study participants. This should specify whether consent was verbal or written.

Answer: We now explicitly report that informed consent was obtained from all the study participants and that the consent was obtained electronically.

5. Please confirm whether all figures and tables were made for this manuscript, or whether they have previously been published elsewhere
Answer: All figures and tables were made for this manuscript and have not been reported elsewhere.

6. Please use either "hierarchical models" or "mixed models" consistently throughout the manuscript (statistical advisor's comment)
Answer: We replaced hierarchical models by mixed models to be consistent

Sincerely
Isabelle Boutron