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Reviewer's report:

I do not believe that the authors have addressed my primary concern. Although they have revised the original manuscript the paper (and it reads much better as a result) it still lacks conceptual clarity. The authors make important observations about neuroethics as an emerging field of study. They also refer to current discourses around Global Mental Health. What is less clear is the link between the two. I come back to my original question: why focus on global mental health when their arguments are equally applicable to mental health as a whole? I would ask the authors to address this more specifically (as part of the introduction) and also, at the end, draw more specific conclusions - i.e. how their analysis would enhance our understanding of GMH and neuroethics. I accept that the subject is interesting and the article worthy of publication but a further revision along the lines I am suggesting would improve it considerably.

Quality of written English: Acceptable
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