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Author’s response to reviews:

1. Ethics approval

-- Please provide the full name of each ethics committee that approved your study and the institute they belong to.
-- Please clarify you obtained ethics approval from a local (Vietnam) ethics committee. Alternatively, if the need for ethics approval was waived by an IRB or is deemed unnecessary according to national regulations, please clearly state this, including the name of the IRB or a reference to the relevant legislation.

I provided the full name of ethics committee (both of Japan and Vietnam) in "Ethics approval and consent to participate" in "Declarations" section.

2. Funding

-- In the Funding section, please also describe the role of the funding body in the design of the study and collection, analysis, and interpretation of data and in writing the manuscript.

I clarified that "This funding source had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis and interpretation, and manuscript writing" in "Funding" in "Declarations" section.

3. Authors' contributions
-- Please include a statement in the Authors' contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

I clarified that "All authors have read and approved the manuscript" in "Authors' contributions" in "Declarations" section.

4. Clean manuscript
-- At this stage, we ask that you submit a clean version of your manuscript and do not include track changes or highlighting.

I submitted a clean manuscript.