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Reviewer's report:

Overall, the revised manuscript is significantly improved. The authors were responsive to the reviewer comments in prior rounds of review.

Below are three suggestions for minor edits that would strengthen the article:

- The manuscript indicates 222 individuals completed the survey and states "(100%)" after the number, suggesting a 100% response rate to the survey. This merits explanation. A 100% response rate seems highly unusual. There is reference to using survey monkey online. For a voluntary online survey, it would be very unusual to get a 100% response rate. Thus it would help if the authors could add a brief explanation of how they achieved this or explain what they mean by the 100%.

- In the discussion, it would be worth acknowledging that while the answers to all three questions are "agree" or "totally agree" in over 80% of respondents' surveys, the percentage who responded "totally agree" declines. That is, while overall support is strong, the strength of that support changes. I think adding a sentence explaining this would be helpful.

- The first sentence of the final paragraph does well to acknowledge a limitation and is responsive to a prior reviewer comment, however I think it would be better to move this statement to the discussion. It does not serve the authors well to end the article on this note and it is also written in a more absolute tone than needed. The authors could re-frame that statement to say that the study adds support for the idea that nursing faculty recognize the importance of linking health and human rights, and that it supplements other research showing the value of linking human rights to health care (with citation to other research).

I think the above edits could be easily addressed and would help improve the article. All other prior comments have been addressed.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?
If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal