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Reviewer's report:

The article presents an important and actual theme: the migratory process and the access to goods and services. It is well drafted and presents all the appropriate elements for its approval: presentation of the theme, description of methodological procedures, results, discussion, conclusions and references.

The fact that it is a work produced in Latin America, in a zone of three frontiers (Brazil, Peru and Bolivia), in a little known/studied community, is also a merit because it allows other countries with similar situations to understand better the phenomenon from the data analyzed here.

The methodological strategies (focus groups, interviews and surveys), the use of a mixed approach and the participation of a large number of people enriched the study.

The themes studied - physical capital, human capital (food and water access), human capital (health and wellbeing) financial capital (land ownership, wealth), personal capital (safety), social capital (reasons for migration and governance) - allow us to understand the situation in various dimensions of its complexity.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?  
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?  
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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