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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript is considerably improved. I have only two minor suggestions that I encourage the authors to consider:

In order for the reader better to grasp that this is a qualitative study, the authors should consider to rearrange the order of the three first sentences:

1: The United Nations has affirmed the rights for persons with disabilities …
2: Disability is strongly associated with poverty …
3: Approximately 15 percent of the world's population …

Discussion:

Introduce the study's strength and limitations before you delve into the Discussion. In this way, it is easier for the reader to link the Recommendations, Implications and Conclusions to the Discussion.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?  
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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