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Reviewer's report:

This manuscript is greatly improved. I particularly appreciate the contextual information related to disability and policy in Thailand, as well as the descriptions of the documents reviewed.

I appreciate the inclusion of a Findings table, however, I found this table quite complex and confusing and would suggest that the authors simplify and clarify. Some ideas for how they might do this would be to align their headings in the findings section with those of the table columns. Authors might also consider ways to make the table more intuitive so that a reader wouldn't need to extensively study a legend to understand it. For example, the different entries under measures/activities (NPEPD, NP, /, x) were hard to follow. Also, the words seemed out of place and confusing under Monitoring results, Alt. Moreover, why are Measures/Activities and Future Plan not broken out by Gov and Alt like the rest of the columns? Why do you measure Monitoring/Results at Output/Outcome for the Government Report but not Alt report? I believe that if the authors revise the findings table to present results in a clear, easy-to-understand way, it will help them to organize their findings section in the manuscript in a way that makes more sense to the reader as well. Consistency between what is presented in the narrative and what is organized in a table would be very helpful.

I would also suggest that the authors reflect on the limitations of their work in the study discussion section.

Finally, although this manuscript is starting to come together conceptually and methodologically, the grammatical errors and writing style in this manuscript are so distracting that it makes it hard to make an accurate assessment on the quality of the work. I cannot recommend this for publication until the significant writing problems are rectified.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Not suitable for publication unless extensively edited
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