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Reviewer's report:

In this article, the authors conducted survey in rural Bangladesh about willingness to administer moth-to-mouth ventilation in a first response program for resuscitation.

This is an important issue in resuscitation program and the manuscript is well written.

However the target of this article might be reconsidered as below.

Major point
This article is targeting to resuscitate drowning victims. However this does not fit to logical statement as a background of this article. The referred articles by authors concerning drowning victim are reports on children. Referred article 1, 6, 12 are all concerning with children. If the authors focus mouth-to-mouth ventilation because of drowning victims, the authors have to focus on the children not on mother, father, grandfather, grandmother, aunt, uncle, et al (Table 2 and 3). The reviewer thinks that this issue should not necessary connected to drowning. It is important that as a first response program the mouth-to-mouth ventilation is difficult procedure in terms of Muslim culture. The population number of Muslim is now very large and rural places are common in all over the world. Therefore if we make a first response program common, we must consider whether mouth-to-mouth ventilation might be included in a standard procedure. This issue also should be discussed in international guideline for resuscitation. This might be persuasive for the background and the target of this article.

Minor point
1. In table2 and table3 or in text, the author should make clear the content of comparison clearer. For example, by <0.001 readers cannot understand the comparison between what and what easily.

Level of interest: An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: Yes, and I have assessed the statistics in my report.

Declaration of competing interests:
There is no competing interest between the reviewer and this article.