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Reviewer's report:

The authors have addressed most of my comments and questions. However, I have got confused about the sample size calculation, which needs to be clarified to enhance understanding of the readers.
If the sample size of this study was 404 (as you described in the methods part), then why you surveyed 384 pharmacy workers? Do you want to say that 404 pharmacy workers were approached; however, 384 responded with a response rate of 95%?
The English language needs to be polished further. See the following sentence "In general, there are mainly male pharmacy workers in Bangladesh as mirrored in our sample as like as other studies."
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Is the study design appropriate to answer the research question (including the use of appropriate controls), and are the conclusions supported by the evidence presented?
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Yes

Is the use of statistics and treatment of uncertainties appropriate?

Yes

Is the presentation of the work clear?

No
Are the images in this manuscript (including electrophoretic gels and blots) free from apparent manipulation?

No