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Reviewer's report:

Thank you very much for providing me the opportunity to review the manuscript entitled "Pharmacy workers' knowledge on antihypertensive and anticonvulsant drugs for the management of pre-eclampsia in Bangladesh." My main comments are based on the methodology that needs to be improved further.

Abstract
I would suggest removing the line "even prescribing drugs (although they are not allowed to do that)."

What do you mean by "Public facilities"?

Introduction
Line 20-28. Multiple references should be provided to support your information.
Line 21-22. What do you mean by "Diploma Pharmacist"?

What are the roles of FWVs, and SACMOs in managing pre-eclampsia and eclampsia?

Line 51. I think Pharmacists are registered in category A by earning a certificate, and the rest of the persons who have a B or C certificate from PCB could not be considered as pharmacists. Please revise "No A-grade, B-grade and C-grade pharmacists."

Methodology
How was the questionnaire developed?
Explain the total items and sections of the questionnaire.

What steps were carried to determine the content and face validity of the instrument?

Have you piloted the instrument?
Which method of sampling was used to recruit the participants?
From one drug shop or pharmacy, etc., how many participants were recruited?
The information about the sample size should be provided.

Results and Discussion
Follow the logical train of thoughts.

Conclusion
It is well described based on the findings

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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