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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

Comments for the authors
1. Include the aim of the study in the abstract
2. "Despite declining maternal mortality ratio (MMR) and neonatal mortality rates (NMR) and increasing rates of facility deliveries, an estimated 303,000 maternal deaths [1], 2.7 million neonatal deaths [2], and 2.6 million stillbirths occurred in 2015". Are these numbers from Nepal or they are global numbers.
3. Define quality of care and provide indicators of quality of care.
4. In the methodology, include the design, and if there were any inclusion/exclusion criteria.
5. I could not see any comments related to communication among health care team, referral system, and quality of documentation. Please have a look at the following article, it may be helpful "Böttcher, B.; Abu EL-Noor, N.; Aldabbour, B. (2018). Maternal Mortality in the Gaza-Strip: A Look at Causes and Solutions. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth, 18(1), 396-403"
6. It would be great if the authors, in the discussion section, discuss the impact of certain supplies and drugs on quality of provided care and maternal and newborn health.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?

Yes
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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