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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for asking me to review this paper again. I think the paper is much improved from previous versions. The only comments I have relate to lines 406-413 (page 21). The final sentence of the discussion section is very long and wordy and needs breaking up to make more sense: e.g. "There is a need to implement the Ideal Clinic programme described above to address challenges experienced and expressed by PHC and MOU-based healthcare providers. Such a programme will undoubtedly influence their awareness, sensitivity and empathic attitude towards patient experiences in PHCs and support efforts to motivate healthcare providers to provide quality care" or something like this.

Also line 412-413: "Our sample does not reflect the views of nurses and midwives more broadly in South Africa, but rather local opinions" - might say "may not reflect.." rather than does not?
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