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Reviewer's report:

General comments: Health care provider and health provider are used inconsistently through out the article.

Abstract: There is three objective of the study but most of study findings surrounding to insights of health care workers but concluded the other two objectives.

Background: ANC is much more than preventive care for pregnant women. It is integrated services with many components. The BANC policy is essential part of primary health care services.

Methods: Inclusion criteria, Is there any interview guide was used?, Describe the role of researcher and how to over come the bias. Needs the description of framework used to analysis of data.

Results: Many sub-themes are overlap and needs reorganization under appropriate themes. Needs detail description of structural framework to make trustworthiness of findings. There is lack of analytic scrutiny.

Conclusion: The conclusion is not answering the study objectives. The recommendation also needs to re-look.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript
**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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