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Thank you for the revisions. I have two remaining comments

1) I think there is room for improvement in the writing style so that all information is clear. For example, from the text (p 6 L22-39), it is not obvious to the reader that the prescribing workload per time slot is actually the average of the total number of prescription in a time slot/total number of physicians in time slot over a 90 day period. If possible, it would be worth having a professional academic editor help with the manuscript.

2) My last remaining concern is with the 'time series analysis'. While I agree that the authors have time-series data, I'm not certain the spearman rho adequately captures the complexities (correlations) of the time series data and there was no statistical test to demonstrate whether the error rate (or workload) varied by time. Please confirm whether a time-series analysis was done and if so, please provide reference(s) to help justify the authors' strategy for analysing time-series data.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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