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Reviewer's report:

TITLE: Awareness, knowledge, attitude and practice of adverse drug reaction reporting among health workers and patients in selected primary healthcare centres in Ibadan, southwest Nigeria

GENERAL COMMENTS: This manuscript addresses a very important issue of adverse drug reaction and its reporting among healthcare workers and patients in a primary care setting in Ibadan, South-West Nigeria. It is well conceptualized with good methodology which can easily be replicated.

There are some few grammatical /syntax errors that are highlighted in the manuscript along with other queries/clarifications.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS:

ABSTRACT: Kindly revise the "conclusion". There is no need to repeat the study findings here verbatim. Try and summarize and comment on the steps to be taken vis implication for practice.

BACKGROUND:

Page 4, Line 81 - this has pose a great challenge - this poses
METHODS:

Page 6, Line 108 - "Primary healthcare workers, as well as regular patients' attendee in the selected PHCs" - Why not consider "patients attending the selected PHCs"

Page 6, Line 127 - "All consented healthcare workers": Why not consider using this phrase "all healthcare workers who gave consent"

Page 7, Lines 131-135: Kindly revise this sentence to make shorter with better clarity. You may want to just summarize and attach the questionnaire as an appendix.

Page 7, Line 146: "there is no ambiguous". Please change to "are no ambiguous questions or statements"

RESULTS

Page 9, Line 106: Please re-phrase the second half of the sentence

Page 9, Line 198: "Primary health workers" - Please maintain PHC workers for consistency

Page 9, Lines 201-202: There seems to be some ambiguity about this statement. Kindly clarify.

Page 10, Line 207: Kindly delete the highlighted segment and change include to "included"

Page 10, Line 211: Add respondents after "Thirty"

Page 10, Lines 216-217: Please re-phrase the sentence for sake of clarity. The message being passed across is not very clear
Page 10, Line 224: Please re-phrase the sentence especially the latter half. You may want to use "patients" only. Participants to be deleted.

Page 10, Line 225: Females or female patients

Page 12, Line 257: Kindly explain what is meant by "sub-medical cadre". This is not a standard way of describing these sub-set of healthcare workers

Page 12, Lines 271-274: "This may probably….." This is not a good explanation for the observed finding. Can the authors think about some other reasons why this may happen?

Page 14, Line 305: Change "constitutes" to constitute

Page 15, Line 334: Change "on" to one

Page 15, Line 346: Change "underscore" to underscores

Page 16, Line 360: Kindly revise the "conclusion". There is no need to repeat the study findings here verbatim. Try and summarize and comment on the steps to be taken vis implication for practice

REFERENCES

Page 19, Lines 437-439: Please check this reference. There seems to be a mistake with names. Kindly check and revise
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