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Reviewer's report:

METHODS:

1) I suggest including the coverage of each center considered in the study, as well as the number of health professionals and patient who were potential to be recruited.

2) It is important explain better the criteria of exclusion, as well as the staff team (reading the results, I observed that there is no pharmacist).

3) The process of validation is complex and include several phases. I suggest changing the word validation to adaptation of the instrument. For example: transcultural validation was not performed.

RESULTS:

1) Owing to rate of return of questionnaire is generally low, I suggest to the authors to describe the strategies applied which contributed to 100% of adherence of health professionals.

2) Regarding attitudes, I suggest calculating the prevalence of ADR reporting before and after the questionnaire, in order to compare with data obtained from the instrument.

3) There are many tables. I suggest jointing those that demonstrate the attitude and knowledge data of health professionals with years of experience.
4) An interesting result is the comparison of knowledge, awareness and attitudes among the health professionals, in order to observe possible differences in the practice of pharmacovigilance.

DISCUSSION:

1) Attitudes were measure with aid of a questionnaire. There is a bias, since the answers may not represent the behavior developed in the clinical practice. This should be included in the limitations.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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