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Reviewer's report:

The authors did a great job of addressing all reviewer comments. There were several attached versions of the paper, so it was somewhat confusing what version was "final". While the authors addressed many of the issues raised in the previous review, the scope and focus of this paper is still very large and certain areas of this paper (including the abstract and introduction) could be significantly cut back to improve clarity and focus. Additionally, this study is not measuring "effectiveness" of community-based HIV/AIDS service delivery models (which it claims in the title, research question, and discussion), because there is no comparison group. Rather, this paper is measuring preferences and uptake of different community-based HIV testing service delivery models among FSWs - this really needs to be clarified. There are also a number of typos throughout the manuscript, and inconsistency of abbreviated terms that should be updated (e.g., female sex workers vs FSWs).
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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