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**Reviewer’s report:**

Thank you for your work and selecting BMC health service research journal.

I have some recommendations for improvement of your manuscript:

1) The written background is too long.

2) You talked about patients’ preferences and their engagement in decision making process. This is well-related to the concept of Shared Decision Making (SDM). I suggest you to talk very briefly about SDM concept in your manuscript.

3) The manuscript is not well-structured and is confusing to the reader with long paragraphs. I suggest to clearly define each section and sub-section.

4) Please justify why you selected 12 intervention GP practices and only 5 for control group.

5) In some countries the older persons are considered older than 60/65 years old. Your participants are older than 75 years old. Please explain this in a sentence in your manuscript (if this age is considered in the Netherland or it is the age you selected for your study).

6) In the method section, please clearly define the eligibility criteria of your participants. I would also rephrase the "exclusion criteria" section as well (line 209-212).

7) I found some repetitive sentences in the manuscript that unnecessarily extended its length. Please go through the manuscript eliminate those repetitive sentences.

8) Some contents that are suitable for background but, are in other sections such as method (e.g. FFF approach’s explanation).

9) The discussion part is not well-explained and again there are more contents that are related to the other studies and not discussing your study results.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No
Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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