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Reviewer's report:

This is an excellent report of an important issue - the training of interprofessional providers to provide youth-centered adolescent care. The setting of Moldova is unique, as it is a middle income country with particular and universal adolescent health challenges. The use of a Logic Outcomes Models is appropriate for a report of this nature. The manuscript would be strengthened by providing details from the actual study in Figure 3 (the actual inputs, processes, and outputs as described in the text, as well as the projected outcomes and impact). An appendix which includes a lesson plan from one of the Collaborative Learning Sessions (or better yet, an online repository of all of them) would be both informative and highly useful to others intending to embark on this work. While it is unfortunate that costs are not detailed, nor actual impact on delivery of adolescent services, I don't believe this should preclude publication.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?  
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