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Title: Relationships between Dental Hygienists' Work Environment and Patient Safety Culture

The manuscript is well written. I have a few comments that I hope will strengthen this paper even more.

Background:

1st paragraph: The first two sentences need references.

2nd paragraph: The first paragraph needs rewording: "that is a culture of considering safety patient as having the foremost importance during all procedures at health" is not good English and totally unclear. You state: "Research regarding this culture is consistently growing" please add some more references: you may want to consider the following references:


3rd paragraph: I am not sure that I agree with needle sticks being the most important hazard for dental patients. Please see:

References:
#15 has the incorrect authors for this article. It should be Bailey, Tickle, etc. Also, please add the year of the article (2015)

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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