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I think that the topic presented is very interesting but there are important lacks that I think that the authors should consider:

1.- The references have to be updated. There is only one reference from 2016 and another from 2017. There are not references from 2018 or 2019. The reference [1] related to a wiktionary does not seem very scientific.

2.- In page 6 is exposed that "Open-ended responses were independently analysed by two student researchers using thematic analysis". I think that additional information should be included about this process. How the discrepancies were resolved? Decision conferencing or another methodology were applied? How the third reviewer participated? Additional information about this third reviewer and the two student researchers is required, academic degree research line, experience, etc. How the categories were created?

3.- A practical example of application of ID methodology would be very interesting to the reader.

4.- The qualitative information recorded from interviews (page 7) is difficult to analyse and sometimes can be interpreted in a way or another depending of the person that analyse the information. This can be an important problem. I think that the authors should explain if that is a limitation of the research or some actions has been applied to avoid the problem. How the open coding process was carried out. Please provided an example.

5.- The questions of the survey were original of based in literature?

I think that there are insufficient information to repeat the methodology by other researchers or health care organizations.
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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