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Roberge-Dao, J. et al.

RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS AT BMC HEALTH SERVICES

Thank you very much for the reviews you sent us. Our response to every comment is included in the text below with the corresponding page number in the manuscript where changes have been made.
Editor Comments:

1. Footnotes
Comment: We note that you have included footnotes in your manuscript (on the title page). Please include the information contained within the footnote, on the title page itself.

Response: Footnotes were removed on the title page and placed within the standard text area.

2. Abstract
Comment: Please structure the Abstract using the following sub-sections:
Background
Methods
Results
Conclusions
Keywords

Response: The abstract was restructured according to the proposed sub-sections.

3. Outcomes (operationalization)
Comment: Please remove this paragraph and consider moving this information into the main text of the manuscript. The main headings of your manuscript should be:
Background
Methods
Results
Discussion
Conclusions

Response: Thank you for this comment. This paragraph has been moved to the methods section found on page 4, line 120.

4. Consent to participate

Comment: In your “Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate” section of your Declarations please clearly state whether the consent obtained from the participants was written or verbal. If verbal, please state the reason and whether the ethics committee approved this procedure.

Response: Thank you for this comment. We added in the “Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate” section that the consent obtained from participants was written on page 20, line 552.

5. Author contributions

Comment: Please include a statement in the Authors’ contributions section to the effect that all authors have read and approved the manuscript, and ensure that this is the case.

Response: Thank you for this comment. We added this statement and the section (page 21, line 565) now reads: “All authors (JRD, BY, AM, MCH, JM, SA and AT) critically revised, read and approved the manuscript.”

6. Figure legends

Comment: Please remove figure legends from within figure files. Figure files should contain only the image, as well as any associated keys/annotations.

Response: We have removed figure legends from within figure files.
7. Figure legends heading

Comment: Please rename the heading to read "Figure legends"

Response: We modified the section heading “figure” to “figure legends” on line 716.

8. Cite figures and files

Comment: We note figure 1 and additional file 4 have not been cited in the main text. Please ensure that all figures/tables and supplementary files are cited within the text. Any items which are not cited may be deleted by our production department upon publication.

Response: Thank you for this important comment. We added citations for figure 1 and additional file 4 in the main text on lines 211 (results section) and 203 (methods section), respectively.

9. Clean manuscript

Comment: At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours.

All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

Please remove any files that should not be published along with your manuscript e.g. cover letters, reviewer responses, guidelines etc., ready for publication.

Response: We have cleaned up the manuscript document according to your criteria (all black text, no tracked changes, etc.) and verified that all tables/figures/additional files in accordance with your standards.