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Reviewer's report:

The authors provided a comprehensive analysis of patients' acceptance of GP contract policy from eight dimensions. However, this paper would be improved if the authors could address the following issues:

1. The authors measured medical service utilization of PHCs using the number of visits at PHCs in the previous year. Since the recall period was as long as a year, recall bias could be a potential problem in this study. How did they address the issue of recall bias?

2. The term "resident's acceptance of general practitioner contract services" was mentioned in the title, however the authors only interviewed patients at PHCs. To what extent could patients visiting PHCs represent the general residents in the selected cities? How did the authors address the problem of sampling bias in this study?

3. The authors mentioned that "I can't get the medicine I need" was a major answer of patients who were asked "What disappointed you most about PHCs" (Line321-323), but it was not clear which part of patients answered this question. Were all patients or only patients who were dissatisfied with drug list asked this question? What specific aspects of drug list were the major concerns of patients who were dissatisfied with drug list?

4. There were some minor grammar errors in this manuscript. I would like to suggest the authors to proofread the manuscript during the revision.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
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