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Reviewer's report:

OVERALL SYNTHESIS

A well-written manuscript which provides important information on the validation of an implementation science framework. Well done for producing such a methodologically robust article. The article will also be of great interest to readers with stern interests in psychometrics!!!

INTRODUCTION

A well written, informative and balanced introduction section. However, authors can further improve clarity by explaining in greater detail the Consolidated Framework for Implementation Research (CFIR). As much as the manuscript focuses on the inner setting domain, it will be important to provide full contextual information for the sake of the readership who may not be familiar with the CFIR. My understanding is that implementation science is still an evolving field and providing more information on the CFIR will further shed more important information on implementation science.

METHODS

The section is well written, detailed enough and is easy to follow

It could be helpful if authors could provide the Trial registration number and or link to the trial protocol if available.

Can the authors also provide the ethical approval number/reference

Line 17-19, Page 7, can the authors please provide the meaning abbreviations, not everyone would be familiar with the names of the states

Excellent description of the CFA modelling and justification of the tested models is theoretically sound
RESULTS

There is need to report how missing responses were dealt with

DISCUSSION

Authors did well by first restating the study objectives and summarizing key findings, this makes it easy for readers to synthesize the study outcomes given the somewhat lengthy results section

A well-balanced discussion and conclusion section

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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