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**Reviewer's report:**

It is a very comprehensive and interesting paper/work. Kindly see the following comments for improvement:

1. Abstract: need a clear objective and please refer to the main text - Research question.

2. Background - pg 3 - last para - line 51-59: if can make into 2 sentences

3. Method section - create subsections according to Qualitative Research Methodology - e.g. Design, Data collection, Validation method etc - this will helps readers to follow through

4. The period of study 2007-2014 - why this period?

5. Discussion - include study limitations and study recommendations

6. Conclusions - move limitations into Discussion; ensure the conclusion section is just focusing on the concluding remark based on the study objective and research question.

7. References - check for consistency e.g. the use of capital vs small letters of article's title.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**

If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**

If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**

If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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