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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for the interesting paper and providing useful information to the asylum group. My comments are:

1. The background session mostly mentions the benefit of trauma treatment that can be shortened. The background should add the information of why do we need the CEA or CBA study, who will be the user of this information? Also, what are standard treatments for asylum whether they are treated or not? What are the current problems of the rehabilitation program in Denmark?

2. Page 3, Line 64, please add the full name of PTSD

3. Page 13, cost analysis should be presented in the graph showing fix and variable costs. This section needs more elaboration, especially how the 166,112 is derived.

5. Page 14, line 296, the 0.5 is missing from the formula. Also, the table should detail the QALY at 0,9 and 23

6. To make it more systematic, the method should add the decision tree

7. Page 14, please refers to 1-3 GDP as a threshold, according to WHO. Also, for NICE reference, please find the similar intervention and see the ICER that NICE used, if any.

8. The Net Social Benefit section is weak. It lacks of a clear explanation that how the figures are obtained. If they are from secondary data, I would suggest to explain the benefit in text and put in the discussion part.

9. Authors should discuss more on other methods using to prove value for money. For example, the capability index or other tools using to assess effectiveness of complex intervention
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