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Reviewer's report:

The authors should be congratulated regarding completion of an interesting and highly relevant study. The study makes significant contributions to the body of knowledge regarding an integrated health service model to strengthen and build capacity in primary health care. However, a number of alterations are recommended to improve the final script.

Definitions would add value, for example something such as the following from the Oxford Dictionary

Complementarity - a relationship or situation in which two or more different things improve or emphasize each other's qualities

Practicalities - the aspects of a situation that involve the actual doing or experience of something rather than theories or ideas

It would also be useful to provide more detail as to how the three key themes were delineated and nomenclature chosen.

Aspects of the script are a little difficult to read due to grammatically clumsy and/or overly long sentences.

Example of grammatically clumsy sentence:

Having a pharmacist in a general practice team is not new [3] but, because of recent changes in the role and rapid development, there is limited recent UK research that could inform the pilot [4, 5].

Example of overly long sentence:

Alongside impact metrics, work is needed on pre-existing collaborations and early pilot sites to provide preliminary insights into the practicalities, benefits and barriers of employing pharmacists in general practice, multidisciplinary team development and provision.
Professional pre-publication editing is recommended.

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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