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Reviewer's report:

Introduction:
Page 3, Line 10: the authors mentioned ".... has faced specific challenges resulting in a low frequency and quality of these services", it is better to summarize these challenges
Page 3, Line 19-23: it is not clear
Page 4, Line 38-40: what did the previous study investigate? HCPs' knowledge, attitude, etc.. toward what?
Page 4, Line 44: "professional groups" what are these groups

I recommend adding following point:
- Health orientation definition
- A paragraph to summarize main information about the CPGs

Objective: needs to be reworded to fit with PICOT

Methods:
- The description of the 2 questionnaires is needed (they are not clear)
- The sample of the study needs to be mentioned clearly (how many HCPs invited, accepted, filled out the questionnaires, etc)
- It is not clear how the authors introduced the CPGs to the participants, and how the authors made sure that participants accessed to the CPGs
- The duration of the study is 2 years, what is the rationale of it
Data Analysis
- It needs to be elaborated on the qualitative analysis
- The study is pre-post. However, it is not clear why the authors compared between questionnaire 1 and questionnaire 2

Results
- The qualitative data showed some barriers to use CPGs among physicians and nurses, have the authors had a chance to address these barriers especially the study lasted for 2 years

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
Needs some language corrections before being published
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