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Review of revised manuscript.

Factors influencing the length of hospital stay of patients with Anorexia nervosa - Results of a prospective multi-center study.

The authors have responded on most of my comments in a satisfactory way.

They have still included the about 25 predictors in a sample of 135 participants and have not given a plausible argument for doing so. To write that replication is importance is not a valid argument og including so many predictors.

In the paper there is no information if patients in the three different treatment centers are similar or not. In the regression model treatment centers showed to be the second most important predictor. This finding is under communicated in the abstract and the conclusions. This could reflect that different policies about when to discharge patients between centers are important factors when predicting length of hospital stay of patients with anorexia nervosa.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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