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Reviewer's report:

Minor comments

Line 51-52: What comparisons were made between person with and without disability?

Line 58-60: Include more objective results

Line 170: There are no controls in a cross-sectional study design

Line 187: How was consent obtained from participants who were below the age of majority?

Line 197: What effect would selecting a comparative participant from the same household with a person with disability have on the results? Was this factored in the analysis?

Line 244-48. Move this section to methods section

Line 250: Title for table 1 is unclear. What was the mean age for persons with disability and the comparative group?

Generally, separate the methods from the results section

Lines 328, 331, 333, 335 - include the chi square

Line 340-343; Not clear

Line 372: The descriptive cross-sectional study does not result in calculation of Chi square

Fig. 1: What is the sample size? In cross-sectional studies, there are usually no cases and controls

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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