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Suggestions for changing

Page 8, line 193

The word "form" after (MDAF) should be erased in this way:

The study developed a Medical Data and Audit Form (MDAF) form that included

Page 8, line 202-204

The gold standard criteria classified the deaths into six levels based on the degree to which the information from medical records provided certainty to classify the death for a given cause:

In the following text only 5 (five) and not 6 (six) levels are listed: GS1, GS2A, GS2B, GS3 and GS4.

Page 11, line 262

Instead of were low quality it should be: were of low quality
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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