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Bernhard Schaller
BMC Health Services Research
http://www.biomedcentral.com/bmchealthservres
Dear Dr. Schaller,

Thank you for the feedback on our manuscript entitled: Effect of a Patient Decision Aid (PDA) for Type 2 Diabetes on Knowledge, Decisional Self-efficacy, and Decisional Conflict. We appreciate your final review and requests for edits to the manuscript.

Toward that end, please see a summary of our edits in response to comments below and in the attached. We have included both a marked up (using Microsoft Word) and clean version as attachments to this email. As per your instructions, we have only uploaded the clean version to the BMC Health Services Research website.

Alicia C. Shillington, PhD, RN

Editorial Comment - General

“Please also ensure that your revised manuscript conforms to the journal style, which can be found at the Instructions for Authors on the journal homepage.”

Author Response: We have reviewed the instructions. We put information that belongs in the “Declarations” section and subsections in the correct order and appropriately titled each beginning on page 19 of the uploaded manuscript.

Editorial Request 1

“1. Conclusion section:

Please add a conclusion section. This should state clearly the main conclusions of the research and give a clear explanation of their importance and relevance. Summary illustrations may be included.”
Author response: Our conclusions were contained as “Implications” and “Unanswered Future Questions”. We have combined this into one section and have titled it “Conclusions” on page 18.

Editorial Request 2 and 3
“2. Move Abbreviations and disclosure statement to before the references.

3. Change ”disclosure” statement to ”Competing Interests”.”
Author response: This has been done. Please see pages 19 and 20

Editorial Request 4
“4. Change ”Authorship” heading to ”Authors' Contributions”.”
Author response: This has been done. Please see page 20.

Editorial Request 5
“5. Add a References heading”
Author response: This has been done. Please see page 22.

Editorial Request 6
“6. Please do not upload a tracked changes version of the final manuscript.”
Author response: We have uploaded only a clean version of the final manuscript. We attached the marked up version to an email to your attention.