Reviewer’s report

Title: The effect of electronic health records adoption on patient visit volume at an academic ophthalmology department

Version: 0 Date: 30 Nov 2015

Reviewer: Young-Taek Park

Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for your resubmission.

Major compulsory revisions
There is no specific comment on this section.

Minor essential revisions
I made several comments on your initial manuscript as minor issues: adding the purpose of study, study design, information on providers, and academic values of this study. Those comments have been fully reflected into the revised manuscript. So, there is no further comment in this revised manuscript. One of concerns of this study was whether a confounding factor that might come from the practice location change of the clinic would affect the study results, decreasing the volume of patients treated, or not. However, the author provided further data on it and fully described and defended its absence. So, I think that the issue related with the practice location change would be resolved.

Discretionary revisions
There is no comment on this section.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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