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Reviewer’s report:

- Major Compulsory Revisions
  none

- Minor Essential Revisions
  (1) This study has a big strength in the type of data utilized, and the authors underline this point very well in the “strengths and limitations” session. Authors should also emphasize the main novelty of the study in the “strengths and limitations” with more efficacy, especially when supporting the main conclusion that regards the assessment of the equity impact of the reform: the study found no evidence that the reform particularly benefitted those with greater health care needs.

- Discretionary Revisions
  (1) I am still convinced that tables are not very communicative, using graphs will be much more effective for reporting the results
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