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Reviewer’s report:

The paper is extremely well written and on an important topic. The use of IVRS for this clearly has merit.

Discretionary Revision
- Line 341 describes the % of people who did not find the calls related to duration, clarity or speed. I was surprised at how low the % were, all things considered. For example only 61.4% found that the calls were not too long, suggesting ~39% believed they were too long. I would be helpful to the reader to know if there are benchmark rates that should be considered for other similar calling approaches.

Level of interest: An exceptional article

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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