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Major Compulsory Revisions

1. The Figure of the process model does not connect very well to the text in the Results. The figure shows two linked subprocesses, so the overall model doesn’t fit with the text, which lists 5 steps. It would be useful to either explain the whole model that is in the figure OR to reduce the model shown in the figure to match what is now in the text. This mismatch is confusing. The text in the Discussion does match the figure more closely. Perhaps this text could be inserted into the Results section. Then, the Discussion might address some obstacles facing hospital pharmacists as they perform each step/activity.

Minor Essential Revisions

None

Discretionary Revisions

2. Figure 1 seems unnecessary. The steps could just be listed in a sentence in the Methods.

3. Discussion, 3rd Paragraph: Hepler is misspelled.
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