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Author's response to reviews: see over
Thank you for your email of 19 June 2015 and the further referee comments. I have pleasure in submitting a revised version of the above paper incorporating the suggested amendments. I itemize the revisions we have made below.

Editorial requests:
1) We have added keywords under the abstract.
2) We have removed the table and figure captions in the main text, as specified.

Reviewer comments – Joanna Henderson
Minor essential revisions
1) We have corrected the typo in the fifth paragraph under ‘Background’ – it now reads as ‘into’ not ‘in to’.
2) We have inserted the suggested missing word under Study Aims ‘agreeing on service improvement priorities…’ etc
3) Under results, we have amended the paragraph to link it with Table 3 and to make sure results relate solely to the email survey. The revised paragraph is shown below:

Thirty nine of the 104 participants previously invited to workshops responded to the email survey (response rate 38%). Of these, four had not previously participated in a Stage 1 worksh
had not attended the consensus workshop. Participants were asked again to self-attribute themselves to multiple and then a ‘main’ stakeholder group, as shown in Table 3. Just over half (51%) identified with a single stakeholder group and 44% identified with two.

I trust this second set of revisions now meet with your requirements for publication in the near future.

With kind regards,

Rebecca Hutten