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Reviewer’s report:

1. Major Compulsory Revisions
This paper is interesting. It seems to be a normative evaluation, more suitable to an internal evaluation report rather than an evaluative research. The theoretical foundation of the RE-AIM must well be stated and its limitations as well. Hence, the added value of the paper to research remains to be fully documented. Authors should endeavour to demonstrate this.

2. Minor Essential Revisions
The analytic tool is well-described. Meanwhile, sampling methods remain unclear. Convenience sampling?
Page 19: It is unusual to have a quotation in the discussion section, unless you perform an embedded result/discussion, which is not the case in this paper. Please move to results.
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