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Reviewer's report:

This is a well-executed study. The authors meticulously describe the details of their methodology. The database they used provides a comprehensive, population-based (first ever in this context) picture of colorectal cancer screening among HIV-positive men in Ontario, Canada. Statistical analysis is appropriate. Limitations are adequately addressed. They have responded appropriately to previous comments.

1. Is the question posed by the authors well defined?
   --Yes.

2. Are the methods appropriate and well described?
   --Yes.

3. Are the data sound?
   --Yes.

4. Does the manuscript adhere to the relevant standards for reporting and data deposition?
   --Yes

5. Are the discussion and conclusions well balanced and adequately supported by the data?
   --Yes.

6. Are limitations of the work clearly stated?
   --Yes.

7. Do the authors clearly acknowledge any work upon which they are building, both published and unpublished?
   --Yes.

8. Do the title and abstract accurately convey what has been found?
   --Yes.

9. Is the writing acceptable?
   --Yes.

Major Compulsory Revisions:
None.

Minor Essential Revisions:
None identified.

Discretionary Revisions:
None identified.

**Level of interest:** An article of importance in its field

**Quality of written English:** Acceptable

**Statistical review:** No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.
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