Reviewer's report

Title: Perceived difficulty and appropriateness of decision making by General Practitioners: a systematic review of scenario studies

Version: 3 Date: 4 November 2014

Reviewer: Susan Hrisos

Reviewer's report:

The authors have made an extremely impressive effort to address the comments of both reviewers and the clarity and flow of the manuscript is much improved.

My only remaining reservation relates to the discourse around the use appropriate vs accuracy'. Whilst the authors provide good justification for their use of 'appropriate' as opposed to 'accuracy' I feel that the short definition of appropriateness provided in the introduction should also carry a caveat acknowledging the limitations of using a guideline (for example) as the sole metric of an 'appropriate' decision. This feels especially appropriate since the authors now suggest / recommend that future assessments of appropriateness should be more comprehensive.

Otherwise I am satisfied that the original comments and concerns have been adequately addressed.
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