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Reviewer's report:

I note that the authors have made various appropriate revisions and I am of the view that the paper has improved significantly as a result. The aims and method of the paper are clear. The results are clearly presented and are of interest.

Minor essential revisions
1) Line 82 – the should be their
2) Line 147 – the sentence “these nurses selected” needs revision for grammar
3) Line 350 – staff not “staffs”
4) Line 537 – the phrase “… and simply puts expectations from the government on them to provide unpaid care.” does not read well. Needs revision of grammar but also of content.

Discretionary revisions
1) Line 99 – I’m not sure you need the sentence “the perspective..” – this is very similar to that stated in line 122

Level of interest: An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English: Acceptable

Statistical review: No, the manuscript does not need to be seen by a statistician.

Declaration of competing interests:

I declare i have no competing interests.