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Abstract:
Background: line #3, amended as: TB IC rapid assessment was done in Ethiopia in 2008

Methods:

- Line number 1: the number 313 removed
- Study design: I was thinking about cross-sectional study with internal comparison, but now modified to hybrid design

Results: section: rewritten

Operational definition for good knowledge and practice included: Correct response to \( \geq 60\% \) knowledge and \( \geq 50\% \) practice questions was considered as good knowledge and practice respectively.

Manuscript

Background:

Paragraph #2, line #1: \textit{M.Tuberculosis} italicized

Paragraph #2, line #7: The letter T in Turkey Capitalized

Paragraph #4, line #7: TB transmission has serious….. Rewritten

Page #4, Line #3-5 deleted

Page #4 first paragraph, is repetitious and deleted

Page #4, Paragraph #2: Ethiopia has recently…….. Is deleted from this section

Methods:

Page 4 first paragraph, the study involved four Hospitals… is reworded

Page 4, Para #2, study design corrected as hybrid

Page 5 and 6 edited and shortened

Results:

Second Paragraph, the section mentioning ‘Table 1’ is deleted.

Under TB IC knowledge section, line 3 spelling of Window opening corrected.

TB IC knowledge section: Reviewed as per the recommendation
Tables: Title name and number given at the top of each table as per the guideline and included at the end of the main manuscript after the reference list