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Reviewer's report:

The paper is much improved by the changes made in response to reviewers' comments. They have adequately addressed my previous suggested revisions.

Minor essential revisions

1. In the discussion sentence 'Thus, the time frame employed by Wilbright et al [47], and other researchers [19] may have been too short to enable telemedicine technology to be adapted in the study settings and to evaluate effectiveness in randomized controlled trials.', do the authors mean adopted rather than adapted?

2. In the discussion, the sentence 'for example, telehealth approaches have been found therapeutically effective in chronic health failure and secondary prevention of coronary health disease [8]' should use the word 'heart' rather than 'health' in two places.

3. In that same paragraph, the authors should be cautious about conflating telemedicine and telehealth. The studies in heart failure that they cite use telemonitoring, which is different to the telemedicine techniques used for supporting wound healing.

4. In the last paragraph of the discussion, the reference to table 5 should be to table 7.
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